

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENTCOMPLAINT LOG NO.
1073805TYPE
CRDATE OF REPORT (Day-Mo.-Yr.)
28 APRIL 2015

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either **EXONERATED**, **UNFOUNDED**, **NOT SUSTAINED**, or in **SUSTAINED** cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed **FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION**. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED. SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

TO: ☐ CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,
INDEPENDENT POLICE REVIEW AUTHORITY ☒ CHIEF,
BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

FROM -INVESTIGATOR'S NAME GLINSKI, SEAN	RANK SGT	STAR NO. 1691	EMPLOYEE NO. [REDACTED]	UNIT ASSIGN. 701	UNIT DETAILED DNA
--	-------------	------------------	----------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

REFERENCE NOS. (LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C. B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT TO THIS INVESTIGATION)

CL# [REDACTED]

ADDRESS OF INCIDENT 14 W. 95th Street (CTA Red Line platform)	DATE OF INCIDENT -TIME 27 OCT 2014 0715	BEAT OF INCIDENT 634
--	--	-------------------------

ACCUSED	NAME	RANK	STAR NO.	EMPLOYEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN.	UNIT DETAILED
	1. SKOKAL, Anthony M.	P.O.	3121	[REDACTED]	701	DNA
	2.					
	3.					

SEX/RACE	D.O.B.	DATE OF APPOINTMENT	DUTY STATUS (TIME OF INCIDENT)	SWORN	CIVILIAN
1. M / 2	[REDACTED] 1967	31 MAY 1994	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWORN	<input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
2.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN	<input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN
3.			<input type="checkbox"/> ON DUTY <input type="checkbox"/> OFF DUTY	<input type="checkbox"/> SWORN	<input type="checkbox"/> CIVILIAN

IF APPLIES, DATE ARRESTED/INDICTED	CHARGES	COURT BRANCH	DISPOSITION & DATE
1.			
2.			
3.			

COMPLAINANT	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	F / 1	[REDACTED] 1960 / 54

VICTIMS	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	DNA						

WITNESSES	NAME	ADDRESS**	CITY	STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	D.O.B./AGE
	DNA						

☐ SEE ATTACHED SHEET FOR ADDITIONAL ACCUSED, COMPLAINANTS, VICTIMS, WITNESSES.

**IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

ALLEGATIONS

The complainant alleged that the accused searched her bag without authorization.

Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s). In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Complimentary History will be included as attachments.

INVESTIGATION: Investigating sergeant was assigned CL# 1073805 on 11 March 2015; Inv/Sgt requested and received one 30 day extension for CL# 1073805 because Officer SKOKAL, was on IOD and then furlough, from 25 MAR through 13 APR 2015. Investigating sergeant learned that on 27 OCT 2014 at 0715 hours, SKOKAL wrote the complainant an Administrative Notice of Violation (P004599691 18) for MCC 10-8-526, CTA Ordinance 98-126-2.14c, Trespass- Enter in paid area without paying. SKOKAL, in his response to this complaint, explained that while in full uniform working Beat 7304 at the CTA Red Line station at 14 W. 95th Street, he observed the complainant, Ms. [REDACTED] use a card to pass through the pay turnstile at the station and then put the card back in her purse. The card Ms. [REDACTED] used caused the turnstile to light up in a manner that indicated she was using a "RIDE FREE" card. Believing that Ms. [REDACTED] did not fit the criteria for a free ride, in that [REDACTED] did not appear to be a senior citizen, handicapped or military, Officer SKOKAL approached Ms. [REDACTED] and asked her to produce her Venira or ride free card. The complainant, according to SKOKAL, refused. SKOKAL related that he requested the complainant's card again, explaining that she was subject to arrest or ANOV if fraudulently using a ride free card. The complainant again refused, so Officer SKOKAL took the complainant into custody and brought her to the police room in the CTA station. The officer did a custodial search of the complainant's bag incident to arrest, where he found a military ride free card issued to [REDACTED]. The card was confiscated and returned to CTA. The complainant was issued an ANOV in lieu of physical arrest, as the officers at 95th Street are instructed to do whenever feasible. The complainant signed the ANOV, was advised of the hearing information by SKOKAL and sent on her way.

Investigating sergeant met with Ms. [REDACTED] on 30 MAR 2015 at the McDonald's at 111 W. Jackson Blvd. per the complainant's request. Ms. [REDACTED] told investigating sergeant that she "was in a hurry" on 27 OCT 2014, 0715 hours and she "didn't notice the police officer or K9 security at the turnstile". Ms. [REDACTED] continued that she just went through the gate, "rushing to get to the train." Ms. [REDACTED] further explained that the officer approached her on the stairwell and told her she had to accompany him to the office. Ms. [REDACTED] then told investigating sergeant that Officer SKOKAL searched her bag without her permission. Ms. [REDACTED] acknowledged during our conversation that the military ride free pass belonged to [REDACTED] who was her brother. She had planned on "returning the pass" to him. However, Ms. [REDACTED] also admitted to using the pass during this incident. Investigating sergeant explained to Ms. [REDACTED] that once she was in custody, the officer was allowed to search her bag and that it was also a matter of safety. Ms. [REDACTED] told this investigator that a female officer was supposed to search her bag. Investigating sergeant explained to Ms. [REDACTED] that as a general practice except for emergency situations, CPD officers will request a female officer to search the body of a woman in custody. The same practice was not necessary for searching a purse or bag. Investigating sergeant asked the complainant if she was mistreated by the accused officer. The complainant said, "No, he was OK." The complainant signed a sworn affidavit following the interview.

SUMMARY

ATTACH- MENTS	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS- SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
	1,2,9	4,5,9,13		13

Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for --- days (not to exceed 5 days).

FINDINGS: The accused officer, P.O. Anthony M. SKOKAL, after observing the RIDE FREE light flash at the pay turnstile in the CTA Red Line Terminal at 14 W. 95th St., was within his rights and legal authority to detain the complainant and further investigate if a fraudulent use of a ride free card had occurred. The officer acted in a lawful and proper manner.

RECOMMENDATION: EXONERATED

FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

DATE INITIATED (Date complaint was received for investigation)	DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)	ELAPSED TIME (Total time expressed in days)
11 MAR 2015	28 APR 2015	49

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE [REDACTED]

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS, CR# 1073805

1. Complaint Face Sheet, 2 pages
2. Sworn Affidavit, signed by [REDACTED] 30 MAR 2015
3. Request for Time Extension, 09 APR 2015
4. Daily Assignment Activity Report, Beat 7304, 27 OCT 2014, 2 pages
5. ANOV #P004599691 18, issued to complainant, 27 OCT 2014
6. Letter addressed to [REDACTED] 11 MAR 2015
7. USPS green Certified Mail receipt, signed by [REDACTED]
8. USPS Certified Mail receipt (copy)
9. To-From-Subject report (interview w/complainant), 2 pages
10. Administrative Proceedings Rights, signed by P.O. Skokal, 12 APR 15
11. Notifications of Allegations, signed by P.O. Skokal, 12 APR 15
12. Waiver of Counsel, signed by P.O. Skokal, 12 APR 15
13. To-From-Subject report, response from P.O. Skokal, 14 APR 15